

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2012-113830-001 DT

08/16/2013

HON. TERESA SANDERS

CLERK OF THE COURT
A. Schmidt
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA

TIFFANY LEIGH BRADY

v.

HOLLY ROBIN KAST (001)

JASON D LAMM

JUDGE WELTY

TRIAL CONTINUANCE PAST ORIGINAL LAST DAY

9:31 a.m.

Courtroom SCT 7C

State's Attorney:	Tiffany Leigh Brady
Defendant's Attorney:	Jason Lamm
Defendant:	Present

Court Reporter, Sally Stearman, is present.

A record of the proceeding is also made by audio and/or videotape.

Having considered the Motion to Continue by counsel for the Defense, the Court finds,

1. The nonmoving party or parties: Opposed the motion.
2. The Arraignment date was: 3/26/2012
3. The original last day was: 9/22/2012

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

CR2012-113830-001 DT

08/16/2013

4. The existing date of the trial when the motion was filed: 8/22/2013
5. The number of continuances granted before this continuance was: 3
6. The motion was: In writing.
7. The motion was filed at least 5 days before trial: Yes
8. If filed untimely, the motion sets forth with specificity the reasons for its untimeliness: Does Not Apply

The Court finds that delay is indispensable to the interests of justice and that the following extraordinary circumstance(s) exist warranting the continuance:

Defense Counsel having been newly appointed to represent the Defendant.

The Defendant waived applicable time limits: Yes

IT IS ORDERED granting the Motion to Continue, vacating the current trial date and resetting the trial to 10/10/2013 at 8:00 a.m. before the Master Calendar Assignment Judge in Courtroom 5B in the South Court Tower.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED setting a Final Trial Management Conference on 10/3/2013 at 8:30 a.m. before this division.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED excluding all time from 8/22/2013 through 10/10/2013 (49 days). NEW LAST DAY: 11/12/2013.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED affirming prior custody orders.

9:46 a.m. Matter concludes.

This case is eFiling eligible: <http://www.clerkofcourt.maricopa.gov/efiling/default.asp>. Attorneys are encouraged to review Supreme Court Administrative Order 2011-140 to determine their mandatory participation in eFiling through AZTurboCourt.